Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/035,921	KUMAR ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
John Van Bramer	3622	

	John Van Bramer	3622		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 20 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.		
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (1)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.198(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as	
	lianas with 27 CER 44 27 must be 4	Eladithin two months	a of the date of	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, i They raise new issues that would require further contained they are the sum of the proposed and they are the sum of the proposed amendment of	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	TE below);		
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).	
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the 				
non-allowable claim(s). would be all	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the	
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.		I be entered and an e	xplanation of	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a No	tion of Annual will not	he entered	
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
13. Other:				
/Eric W. Stamber/				
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3622				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: No amendments were proposed. The applicants arguments have been fully considered bu to not place the application in condition for allowance. The applicants contention that the examiner did not address the arguments made in the last rejection regarding independent claim 1. The examiner disagrees. A the applicant sold results are considered by a decidered so yet addition of the Hitle reference in the rejection itself. As the applicant recites in the specification Playback rules include aspects selected from the group consisting of geographic location, demographics, media playback history, time of day, date, day of week, month of year, and season of year. As discolosed in the cited reference of Hitle, the CID codes are sent to individually addressable digital recording devices with unique addresses that are installed at the display site (Col 5, line 26 through Col 6, line 39. Hitle further discloses she at attached to each commercial are codes indicating conditions and rules required to display the commercial. These rules include date, day-part, network, program context, etc. (Col 6, line 60 through Col 7, line 34. Thus playback rules are disclosed as required by the limitations of the claims as currently written. The applicants arguments directed towards the effect of the preamble are unconvincing. The claim clearly recites a media and advertisement player. If there was intended to be a plurality of media and advertisement players such a recitation is required. If the applicants that the discloses advertisement that the metan abounds of the claim must be specifically and distinctly set forth in the claims, the examiner directs them to MPEP 2173.05. The applicants argument that the registion.